

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

GEORGE J. PROAKIS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION HISTORIC PRESERVATION

STEP 2: DETERMINATION OF PREFERABLY PRESERVED STAFF REPORT

Site: 377 Washington Street

Case: HPC.DMO 2021.04

Applicant: Thomas Lichoulas **Owner:** Washington TN, LLC

Proposal: *Demolish all structures*

HPC Meeting Date: March 16, 2021



The purpose of a staff report is to provide the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) with additional information and professional assessments regarding properties that are proposed for demolition. These assessments are based on the criteria allowed for consideration by the HPC in accordance with the Demolition Review Ordinance (DRO). A Staff Report is <u>not</u> a determination/decision nor does it constitute authorization in any form.

I. SUMMARY OF FEBRUARY 16, 2021 MEETING

At their regular public meeting on February 16, 2021, the HPC voted the structure at 377 Washington Street to be "Historically Significant". Due to this determination, 377 Washington Street moved on to the second step in the demolition review process, which calls for the HPC to determine whether or not the building should be "Preferably Preserved". A determination of "preferably preserved" does not permanently halt demolition of a structure.



Date: March 16, 2021 Case: HPC.DMO 2021.04 Site: 377 Washington Street

II. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information regarding John Leland shows that, according to the 1865 State Census, he was working also working as a wheelwright in Somerville. We also learn that his middle initial is "M", information that was not included in the 1870 federal census.

549 611	Lelane John M.	46	ne	1	N.16.	na .	Munight	130	4	1	11
	Soprenie S.	43	3	7	Mass	In s	1	ante:		u(X)	0-1
2	Ella 3	15	2			2	1	- 2	1 14		
	1 Strank &	12	The	2	4.	Day 8	25 miles	14.5654		-	1

A rare find in this regulatory research is uncovering the 1860 Federal census. Here again John Leland and his family are listed. He works as a wheelwright and lives with his wife and four children. At the time of te 1870 census that was provided in the Historic Significance staff report, only three children remained at the Leland home. The eldest, Albert A. Leland, 17 at the time of the 1860 census had likely left his childhood home to make his way as an adult.

374 421	John Seland	41	m	Wheelinight V	2.00	440	12	1.16		1	
	Soplama "	38	1	Line of the same	100	- wie		le		1	
1	Albert A.	12	n	Am more		3584	- 20	Mass	1	18	
	Auna M. "	/2	1	100						15	
	Ella F.	10	1	estella lucia e e espera	55		nia.	2600			Table (NA
	Frank E. "	>	m	aliana s				1	1		

For more detailed information regarding historic map and 1870 census research into **377 Washington Street**, see the staff report on Historic Significance for same.

III. DETERMINATION

The HPC must determine one of the following:

- a. That the building is Preferably Preserved.
- b. That the building is not Preferably Preserved.
- c. That the building is not Preferably Preserved with Conditions.

See the following section IV "Findings" for guidance.

Page 3 of 4 Date: March 16, 2021 Case: HPC.DMO 2021.04

Site: 377 Washington Street

IV. FINDINGS

a. Preferably Preserved

For a determination of *preferably preserved*, the HPC must make the following finding:

That the demolition of the building at 377 Washington Street would be detrimental to the architectural, cultural, political, economic, or social heritage of the City.

If the HPC makes the above finding in the affirmative or the negative, the HPC must state their reasons why they take either position.

b. Not Preferably Preserved

For a determination of NOT preferably preserved, the HPC must make one of the following findings:

If the HPC makes the above finding, the HPC must state their reasons why they take their position.

c. Not Preferably Preserved with Conditions

For a determination of NOT preferably preserved with Conditions, the HPC must make the following finding:

That the building at 377 Washington Street does not meet any of the criteria listed under item "a"

- AND -

- That the applicant is required to provide one or more of the following to the Planning Division (Preservation Planning) prior to demolition:
 - 1. photographic documentation of the building to be demolished;
 - 2. architectural renderings of the building to be demolished;
 - 3. identification of materials for salvage of material; and/or,
 - 4. a plan for installation of historic or interpretive signage at or near the site.

If the HPC makes the above finding, the HPC must state their reasons why they take their position.

Date: March 16, 2021 Case: HPC.DMO 2021.04 Site: 377 Washington Street Page 4 of 4

VOTE V.

When bringing the matter to a vote, the HPC must include the reasons why the structure at **377 Washington Street** is or is not "preferably preserved".